UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,134	10/14/2003	James F. Zucherman	41914.79	4485
46333 7590 05/29/2008 HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 901 Main Street			EXAMINER	
			HOFFMAN, MARY C	
Suite 3100 Dallas, TX 75202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/685,134	ZUCHERMAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	MARY HOFFMAN	3733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ 7     Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	This action is non-final. wance except for formal mat		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1,2,4,7-12 and 47-50 is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without is/are without is/are without is/are allow 5)  Claim(s) 1,2,7-12,47,49 and 50 is/are allow 6)  Claim(s) 48 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10/14/2008 is/are: a  Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/14/2008 has been entered.

## Claim Objections

Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: From the language of claim 4, lines 1-2, it is unclear whether the "beveled leading edges" are the same feature as the "leading cutting edges" of claim 1 (see line 22). The examiner is interpreting the "edges" of claim 4 to be the same "edges" of claim 1. Claim 4 would be clearer if Applicant amended claim 4 to state that the "leading cutting edges are beveled". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claim 48, Applicant has amended the claim to recite "offset from the sagittal plane." This terminology is not found in the originally filed disclosure, and is broader in scope than the previous recitation "lateral to the sagittal plane". Thus, it is deemed new matter.

Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The meaning of the phrase "offset from the saggital plane" is not clear from Applicant's disclosure. Moreover, applicant is referring to a plane on the human body, so whether the blades are offset or not would be determined by the use and location of the cutting tool relative the body.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-2, 7-12, 47, 49 and 50 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARY HOFFMAN whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 3733

(571)272-5566. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 10:00-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo C. Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mary C. Hoffman/ Examiner, Art Unit 3733

/Eduardo C. Robert/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733